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Themes

• Autonomous control of unmanned spacecraft
• Software development methodology and the limits of static analysis
• Effecting change in large organizations
• (Lisp)
[T]here is nothing more difficult to take in hand, more perilous to conduct, or more uncertain in its success, than to take the lead in the introduction of a new order of things…

Nicolo Machiavelli
“The Prince”, Chapter 6
[T]here is nothing more difficult to take in hand, more perilous to conduct, or more uncertain in its success, than to take the lead in the introduction of a new order of things, for the innovator has for enemies all those who have done well under the old conditions, and only lukewarm defenders in those who may do well under the new.

Nicolo Machiavelli
“The Prince”, Chapter 6
1994: The era of big spacecraft is over
New Millennium Program

• Initiated by then-NASA-administrator Dan Goldin in 1994
• New technology, economies of scale…
• “Better, faster cheaper…”
DS1 vs Galileo and Cassini

- **Galileo (1977-1986/9)**
  - ~10 years inception to launch
  - ~2500 kg
  - $1.7B

- **Cassini (1982-1997)**
  - 15 years inception to launch
  - ~2500 kg
  - $3.2B
DS1 vs Galileo and Cassini

• DS1 (1994-1998)
  – 4 years inception to launch (25% of Cassini)
  – 373 kg (15% of Cassini)
  – Originally budgeted at $100M (3% of Cassini)
  – Final mission cost: $150M
DS1 Technology Demonstrations

- Solar-electric ion propulsion
- SCARLET concentrating solar array
- Auto-nav visual navigation system
- Small Deep Space Transponder (SDST)
- Beacon monitor, PEPE, a few others…
- And, of course, Remote Agent
DS1 Science

Asteroid Braille

Comet Borrelly
Remote Agent

- Spacecraft control without human supervision
- Intended to reduce operations costs
- Three major components:
  - Planner (Europa, ARC)
  - Executive (EXEC, JPL)
  - Diagnostic system (Livingstone, ARC)
Remote Agent

- Goals
- Planner
- Plan
- Exec
- Spacecraft State
- Commands
- Monitor
- Sensor Readings
- Spacecraft hardware
“Lisp” is a four-letter word

- Remote Agent was written (mostly) in Lisp
- This was the mid-90’s
  - Galileo flight software written in HAL/S
  - Cassini flight software written in Ada
  - Python 1.0 had just been released
  - Java was still a year in the future
  - Ansi C was “new” by S/C standards (only 5 years old)
  - C++ was (still) not ready for prime time…
Rovers
SHARP: Spacecraft Health Automated Reasoning Prototype

Figure 1. SHARP Telecom System Overview
Other Lisp Projects

- Plan-IT2, a spacecraft sequence generator
- Galileo magnetometer patch
- MeSMeR (Modular Simulator of Mobile Robots)
- TSim, a modular spacecraft simulator
- SDS (State Database System)
RA’s fourth component: TCA

- TCA: Task Control Architecture (Simmons)
- Early message-passing architecture
- Developed at CMU
- Two major components
  - TDL (Task Description Language)
  - IPC (Interprocess Communication)
Design by Politics

- IPC competed with CORBA
- TDL competed with ESL (Exec)
- Political considerations dictated that CMU get a piece of the action
- CORBA didn’t have an advocate
The unfortunate result

Planner (Lisp)  Exec (Lisp)  Monitor (Lisp)

TCA IPC (buggy, crash-prone C)
It gets worse

• Data has to be marshaled and unmarshaled from Lisp to C and back again
• TCA IPC has its own data description language
• DDL must be manually synced with .h files and Lisp FFI
• CLASH (Kanefsky)
Disaster looms

- RA was viewed with extreme suspicion from the beginning
- TCA IPC crashed regularly
- Entire DS1 project is over budget, behind schedule
- Critical design review:
  - NASA big wig to lead software integration engineer: “What is the one thing you would change to make things better?”
  - Lead SWIE replies: Dump Remote Agent.
The Decline and Fall of Western Civilization

- RA downgraded from mainline flight software to a flight experiment (RAX)
- Attempt to rewrite planner in C++ failed
Chronology

• 1994 - NMP announced
• 1996 (approx) - RA downgraded to RAX
• Oct 24, 1998 - DS1 launched
  – RAX software not complete
• Feb 1999 - RAX software uploaded
• May 1999 - Remote Agent Experiment(s)
• July 28, 1999 - Braille flyby
• Sept 22, 2001 - Borrelly flyby
DS1 “issues”

- Ion engines shut down 4 minutes after initial start
- Software crash 48 hours prior to Braille flyby (unrelated to RAX)
- Target tracking failed at Braille
- Star tracker failed shortly after Braille
- The RAX bug…
The RAX bug

• Reliability was *extremely* important
  – We were going to control a $150M asset
  – Couldn’t go to the machine room and reboot in the event of failure

• RAX was *extensively* tested for *months*

• Exec “proven” correct using SPIN
The RAX bug

• RAX ran for three days, heavily scripted scenario
• On day 2 an expected event failed to occur
• Interesting debugging process
  – $150M asset, 45 light-minutes away
  – Having a REPL was invaluable
• Problem turned out to be a race-condition leading to deadlock
  – “Proven” impossible
  – Never happened in ground testing
The RAX legacy

• Officially RAX was a success
  – It (mostly) worked
  – We didn’t lose the spacecraft
  – Flying a REPL was revolutionary, RAX bug could not have been fixed without it

• Unofficially it was a disaster
  – No spacecraft has flown autonomously since RAX
  – No NASA software development has been done in Lisp since RAX
What went wrong?

• It was not just RAX that failed…
• New Millennium was supposed to save NASA. It didn’t.
• Deep systemic failure of NASA’s attempt to reinvent itself. Why?
What went wrong?

• We did not lack support from upper management
• We did not lack technical expertise
• We did lack spacecraft training, but we learned on the job
What went wrong?

• What we lacked was a true understanding of our user’s needs
  – Focused on technology, economics, coolness
  – We assumed the user wanted tools to make them more productive.
  – What they really wanted was job security
NASA: RAX writ large

• NASA is *not* about space exploration. It has *never* been about space exploration.
• NASA *was* about beating the Soviets
• Since 1991 it has been about … ???
The hardest part of giving the customer what they want is figuring out what it is.
Backup slides
What do your users really want?

- Users are not necessarily customers
  - Your customers are the people who give you money
  - Google’s users are not Google’s customers
- In a large organization, your users are often your colleagues
- Intra-organizational economics are different
  - Good will, favors, and making people look good to their superiors are the local currency, not money
Advice

• Innovation is *always* disruptive
  – Find out whose toes you are stepping on (not always obvious)
  – Shmooze them, or get them out of the way, but *do not ignore them*
More RAX legacy

- VxMCL was not the flight Lisp. We used a custom port of Harlequin.
- CLisp was also a candidate, successfully ported to VxWorks, but ultimately rejected because of lack of multithreading
More RAX legacy

• RAX is the reason Clozure Common Lisp exists
  – Gary Byers hired to port MCL to VxWorks
  – Along the way ported to Sun Sparc
  – That code base eventually became OpenMCL, which is now CCL